



Three Sigma Labs

Code Audit



metazero

MetaZero Protocol

Gaming RWA Tokenization

Disclaimer

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol Gaming RWA Tokenization

Disclaimer

The ensuing audit offers no assertions or assurances about the code's security. It cannot be deemed an adequate judgment of the contract's correctness on its own. The authors of this audit present it solely as an informational exercise, reporting the thorough research involved in the secure development of the intended contracts, and make no material claims or guarantees regarding the contract's post-deployment operation. The authors of this report disclaim all liability for all kinds of potential consequences of the contract's deployment or use. Due to the possibility of human error occurring during the code's manual review process, we advise the client team to commission several independent audits in addition to a public bug bounty program.

Table of Contents

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol

Gaming RWA Tokenization

Table of Contents

Disclaimer	3
Summary	7
Scope	9
Methodology	11
Project Dashboard	13
Code Maturity Evaluation	16
Findings	19
3S-MetaZero-C01	19
3S-MetaZero-C02	20
3S-MetaZero-H01	21
3S-MetaZero-H02	22
3S-MetaZero-M01	23
3S-MetaZero-M02	24
3S-MetaZero-M03	25
3S-MetaZero-L01	26
3S-MetaZero-L02	27
3S-MetaZero-L03	28
3S-MetaZero-N01	29
3S-MetaZero-N02	30
3S-MetaZero-N03	31
3S-MetaZero-N04	32
3S-MetaZero-N05	33
3S-MetaZero-N06	34

Summary

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol Gaming RWA Tokenization

Summary

Three Sigma Labs audited MetaZero Protocol in a 3 day engagement. The audit was conducted from 27-01-2024 to 30-01-2024.

Protocol Description

MetaZero is a Synthetic Liquidity Layer Protocol for Omnichain Tokenization of Gaming Real World Assets (RWAs), powered by LayerZero.

00000000	01 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
00000010	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
00000020	00 00 00 00 3B A3 ED FD	7A 7B 12 B2 7A C7 2C 3E	:Éiýz{.
00000030	67 76 8F 61 7F C8 1B C3	88 8A 51 32 3A 9F B8 AA	gv.a.È.Ã~SQ
00000040	4B 1E 5E 4A 29 AB 5F 49	FF FF 00 1D 1D AC 2B 7C	K.^J)«_Iyy.
00000050	01 01 00 00 00 01 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
00000060	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	
00000070	00 00 00 00 00 00 FF FF	FF FF 4D 04 FF FF 00 1D	ÿÿÿÿM
00000080	01 04 45 54 68 65 20 54	69 6D 65 73 20 30 33 2F	..EThe Tim
00000090	4A 61 6E 2F 32 30 30 39	20 43 68 61 6E 63 65 6C	Jan/2009 Ch
000000A0	6C 6F 72 20 6F 6E 20 62	72 69 6E 6B 20 6F 66 20	lor on brin
000000B0	73 65 63 6F 6E 64 20 62	61 69 6C 6F 75 74 20 66	second bail
000000C0	6F 72 20 62 61 6E 6B 73	FF FF FF FF 01 00 F2 05	or banksÿÿÿÿ
000000D0	2A 01 00 00 00 43 41 04	67 8A FD B0 FE 55 48 27	*....CA.gŠý
000000E0	19 67 F1 A6 71 30 B7 10	5C D6 A8 28 E0 39 09 A6	.gñ q0·.\Ö"
000000F0	79 62 E0 EA 1F 61 DE B6	49 F6 BC 3F 4C EF 38 C4	ybaê.ab¶IÖ%
00000100	F3 55 04 E5 1E C1 12 DE	5C 38 4D F7 BA 0B 8D 57	óU.ã.Á.Þ\8M
00000110	8A 4C 70 2B 6B F1 1D 5F	AC 00 00 00 00	ŠLp+kñ._~..
00000000	30 31 30 30 30 30 30 30	36 66 65 32 38 63 30 61	0100000006f
00000010	66 31 62 33 37 32	63 31 61 36 61 32 34 36	b6f1b3726c1
00000020	66 36 33 66 37 34 66	39 33 31 65 38 33 36 35	ae63f7f4639
00000030	65 31 35 61 30 38 39 63	36 38 64 36 31 39 30 30	e15a089c638
00000040	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	39 38 32 30 35 31 66 64	00000009820
00000050	31 66 65 65 31 34 36 37	37 62 61 31 61 33 63 33	1e4ba7445bb
00000060	35 34 30 62 66 37 62 31	63 64 62 36 30 36 65 38	1fee1456376
00000070	35 37 32 33 33 65 30 65	36 31 62 63 36 36 34 39	5400bfb1bcd
00000080	66 66 66 66 30 30 31 64	30 31 65 33 36 32 39 39	57233e0e6bc
00000090	30 31 30 31 30 30 30 30	30 30 30 31 30 30 30 30	fff0001d01e
000000A0	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	01010000000
000000B0	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	00000000000
000000C0	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	00000000000
000000D0	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	00000000000
000000E0	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30 30 30 30 66 66 66 66	00000000000
000000F0	66 66 66 66 30 37 30 34	66 66 66 66 30 30 31 64	ffff074ffff
00000100	30 31 30 34 66 66 66 66	66 66 66 66 30 31 30 30	0104ffffffffff
00000110	66 32 30 35 32 61 30 31	30 30 30 30 30 30 34 33	f2052a01000
00000120	34 31 30 34 39 36 62 35	33 38 65 38 35 33 35 31	4104946b538
00000130	39 63 37 32 36 61 32 63	39 31 65 36 31 65 63 31	9c726ac91e6
00000140	31 36 30 30 61 65 31 33	39 30 38 31 33 61 36 32	16000ae1390
00000150	37 63 36 36 66 62 38 62	65 37 39 34 37 62 65 36	7c66fb8be79
00000160	33 63 35 32 64 61 37 35	38 39 33 37 39 35 31 35	3c52da73589
00000170	64 34 65 30 61 36 30 34	66 38 31 34 31 37 38 31	d4e0a646381
00000180	65 36 32 32 39 34 37 32	31 31 36 36 62 66 36 32	e6224947321
00000190	31 65 37 33 61 38 32 63	62 66 32 33 34 32 63 38	1e73a823cbf
000001A0	35 38 65 65 61 63 30 30	30 30 30 30 30 30	58eacc00000
00000000	30 31 30 30 30 30 30 30	34 38 36 30 65 62 31 38	01000000486

Scope

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol Gaming RWA Tokenization

Scope

ONFTV2-721C

Assumptions

Openzeppelin, LayerZero and LimitBreak are trusted.

Methodology

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol

Gaming RWA Tokenization

Methodology

To begin, we reasoned meticulously about the contract's business logic, checking security-critical features to ensure that there were no gaps in the business logic and/or inconsistencies between the aforementioned logic and the implementation. Second, we thoroughly examined the code for known security flaws and attack vectors. Finally, we discussed the most catastrophic situations with the team and reasoned backwards to ensure they are not reachable in any unintentional form.

Taxonomy

In this audit we report our findings using as a guideline Immunefi's vulnerability taxonomy, which can be found at immunefi.com/severity-updated/. The final classification takes into account the severity, according to the previous link, and likelihood of the exploit. The following table summarizes the general expected classification according to severity and likelihood; however, each issue will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and may not strictly follow it.

Severity / Likelihood	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
NONE	None		
LOW	Low		
MEDIUM	Low	Medium	Medium
HIGH	Medium	High	High
CRITICAL	High	Critical	Critical

Project Dashboard

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol

Gaming RWA Tokenization

Project Dashboard

Application Summary

Name	MetaZero Protocol
Commit	a562bc6b73d55ee0059240701e81e938cbe95890
Language	Solidity
Platform	Ethereum

Engagement Summary

Timeline	27-01-2024 to 30-01-2024
Nº of Auditors	2
Review Time	3 days

Vulnerability Summary

Issue Classification	Found	Addressed	Acknowledged
Critical	2	2	0
High	2	2	0
Medium	3	1	2
Low	3	1	2

None	6	5	1
------	---	---	---

Category Breakdown

Suggestion	6
Documentation	0
Bug	5
Optimization	2
Good Code Practices	3

Code Maturity Evaluation

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol Gaming RWA Tokenization

Code Maturity Evaluation

Code Maturity Evaluation Guidelines

Category	Evaluation
Access Controls	The use of robust access controls to handle identification and authorization and to ensure safe interactions with the system.
Arithmetic	The proper use of mathematical operations and semantics.
Centralization	The presence of a decentralized governance structure for mitigating insider threats and managing risks posed by contract upgrades
Code Stability	The extent to which the code was altered during the audit.
Upgradeability	The presence of parameterizations of the system that allow modifications after deployment.
Function Composition	The functions are generally small and have clear purposes.
Front-Running	The system's resistance to front-running attacks.
Monitoring	All operations that change the state of the system emit events, making it simple to monitor the state of the system. These events need to be correctly emitted.
Specification	The presence of comprehensive and readable codebase documentation.
Testing and Verification	The presence of robust testing procedures (e.g., unit tests, integration tests, and verification methods) and sufficient test coverage.

Code Maturity Evaluation Results

Category	Evaluation
Access Controls	Weak. Detokenize and send where not checking the owner or approval of nfts.
Arithmetic	Satisfactory. No arithmetic errors were found.
Centralization	Weak. Minters can freely mint nfts.
Code Stability	Satisfactory. The code was stable throughout the audit.
Upgradeability	Weak. The contracts are not upgradeable.
Function Composition	Satisfactory. The code was correctly split into helper functions.
Front-Running	Satisfactory. No front-running issues are present.
Monitoring	Moderate. Some events were missing.
Specification	Satisfactory. The code follows the specifications.
Testing and Verification	Weak. Some issues should have been found by tests.

Findings

Code Audit

MetaZero Protocol Gaming RWA Tokenization

Findings

3S-MetaZero-C01

NFTs can be stolen by calling **send()** and receiving the nfts in another chain

Id	3S-MetaZero-C01
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in f34458d.

Description

[send\(\)](#) does not check the owner of the to be sent nfts, which allows anyone to send nfts to itself on behalf of someone else. See the POC [here](#).

Recommendation

Add **_isApprovedOrOwner()** on the nfts to be sent in **send()**.

3S-MetaZero-C02

`deTokenize()` is missing access control, anyone can burn other people's nfts

Id	3S-MetaZero-C02
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in 5b68968.

Description

`deTokenize()` allows users to burn their nfts with a certain string `url`. The function is missing access control, such that anyone can burn any nft, POC [here](#).

Recommendation

Either place the `onlyOwner` modifier or call `_isApprovedOrOwner()`.

3S-MetaZero-H01

Send should revert if the gas limit has not been set for a destination chain (peer)

Id	3S-MetaZero-H01
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in 98f36e9.

Description

[send\(\)](#) allows users to send messages with 0 gas limit if the **gasLimit** mapping has not been seen for a certain peer. This will likely make the transaction revert on the destination chain, losing the funds. POC [here](#).

Recommendation

Revert if trying to send a message to **_dstEid** whose gas limit was not yet set.

3S-MetaZero-H02

Lost nfts due to smart wallets having different addresses on different chains

Id	3S-MetaZero-H02
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Suggestion
Status	Addressed in 5f138f1.

Description

`send()` sends the tokens to the same address that called the function. Some smart contract wallets may have different addresses on different chains (or even chains with different address generation).

Recommendation

Let the user specify a destination address to send the nfts to.

3S-MetaZero-M01

mintGenesis() mints with a push pattern using **_mint()** which may lead to lost tokens

Id	3S-MetaZero-M01
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	Medium
Category	Suggestion
Status	Acknowledged

Description

_mint() in **mintGenesis()** may send tokens to an address that can't deal with ERC721. **_safeMint()** would be recommended; however, it would introduce additional issues, namely reentrancy and possible malicious reverts.

Recommendation

Use a merkle tree to allow users to claim their genesis NFTs. This way, each user is responsible for claiming their NFT correctly. Additionally, it would be much cheaper for the owner of the MetaZeroVortex contract and the **isGenesis** mapping could be verified against the merkle tree, saving up to 1000 storage writes.

3S-MetaZero-M02

In function `mint()` and `lzReceive()`, `_safeMint()` is recommended over `_mint()`, which performs additional checks

Id	3S-MetaZero-M02
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	Medium
Category	Suggestion
Status	Acknowledged

Description

Function `mint()` and `lzReceive()` mint a nft to a certain address, which may lead to lost tokens if `_safeMint()` is not used instead. This recommendation should not apply to `mintGenesis()`, the proposed fix there is using a Merkle Tree.

Note: if `lzReceive()` reverts due to `_safeMint()`, the token is already burnt in the source chain, which could mean that the nft is forever lost. However, if this happens, a minter can manually call `safeMint()` to the user (or another address specified by the user) in the destination chain. Note that the endpoint's behavior is non blocking now by default, such that if the `lzReceive` reverts, other users may continue sending cross chain nfts

<https://docs.layerzero.network/explore/layerzero-v2#improved-message-handling>. `mint()` (or `safeMint()`, if the protocol fixes the usage of `_mint()`), should have a flag specifying if the nft is a genesis one, so if `_safeMint()` reverts on `lzReceive()`, it's still possible to mint the genesis nft. Additionally, if `lzReceive()` fails, the owner must clear the payload beforehand in the `LzEndpoint` manually if it wants to call `safeMint()` separately. If a minter mints the lost token to the user before clearing the payload in the `LzEndpoint`, the user may act maliciously and send the newly minted token to another chain, burning it in the current chain, and then try to execute the payload again in the `LzEndpoint`, getting a free nft.

3S-MetaZero-M03

Can not mint 1000 tokens, only 999

Id	3S-MetaZero-M03
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in cd1bb46.

Description

Only 999 tokens can be limited according to the [code](#), but the comments indicated that 1000 tokens should be minted on genesis. See the [POC](#) for confirmation.

Recommendation

Change [genesisCounter](#) to 0.

3S-MetaZero-L01

Important events are missing

Id	3S-MetaZero-L01
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in a7dab03.

Description

State changing variables should emit events for data fetching purposes. The following variable changes are missing events:

<https://github.com/threesigmaxyz/metazero-issues-external/blob/master/src/ONFTV2-721C.sol#L55-L56>

<https://github.com/threesigmaxyz/metazero-issues-external/blob/master/src/ONFTV2-721C.sol#L78>

<https://github.com/threesigmaxyz/metazero-issues-external/blob/master/src/ONFTV2-721C.sol#L135>

<https://github.com/threesigmaxyz/metazero-issues-external/blob/master/src/ONFTV2-721C.sol#L163>

<https://github.com/threesigmaxyz/metazero-issues-external/blob/master/src/ONFTV2-721C.sol#L192>

<https://github.com/threesigmaxyz/metazero-issues-external/blob/master/src/ONFTV2-721C.sol#L196>

3S-MetaZero-L02

renounceOwnership() should be disabled if it is not intended to be ever used

Id	3S-MetaZero-L02
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	Low
Category	Suggestion
Status	Acknowledged

Description

Calling **renounceOwnership()** would block the owner from very important functions, which would be problematic. When this is the case and the owner is not supposed to be renounced in the future, it's best to disable the **renounceOwnership()** function.

3S-MetaZero-L03

Ownable2Step should be preferred over **Ownable**

Id	3S-MetaZero-L03
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	Low
Category	Suggestion
Status	Acknowledged

Description

[Ownable](#) performs a simple ownership transfer by setting the owner to a new address. This is dangerous and could result in an invalid owner being set. [Ownable2Step](#) performs additional checks by setting a pending owner which has then to accept the ownership. Consider using it instead.

3S-MetaZero-N01

genesisMint gas costs can be reduced by caching **genesisCounter**

Id	3S-MetaZero-N01
Classification	None
Category	Optimization
Status	Addressed in 6ff3111.

Description

The `genesisMint()` function could cache **genesisCounter** while looping:

```
uint256 cachedGenesisCounter = genesisCounter;
for (uint i; i < _to.length; ++i) {
    _mint(_to[i], _ids[i]);
    isGenesis[_ids[i]] = true;
    cachedGenesisCounter++;
}
genesisCounter = cachedGenesisCounter;
```

3S-MetaZero-N02

`_setDefaultRoyalty()` in the constructor is overriding the **BasicRoyalties** constructor

Id	3S-MetaZero-N02
Classification	None
Category	Good Code Practices
Status	Addressed in 28850d5.

Description

`_setDefaultRoyalty()` in the [constructor](#) is overriding the **BasicRoyalties** constructor to 500, regardless of the parameter sent.

3S-MetaZero-N03

Unused parameters names can be removed to ignore compiler warnings

Id	3S-MetaZero-N03
Classification	None
Category	Good Code Practices
Status	Addressed in d7d5c84.

Description

`lzReceive()` only uses the **payload** parameter, so the other names can be removed, leaving only the types:

```
function _lzReceive(  
    Origin calldata, // struct containing info about the message sender  
    bytes32, // global packet identifier  
    bytes calldata payload, // encoded message payload being received  
    address, // the Executor address.  
    bytes calldata // arbitrary data appended by the Executor
```

3S-MetaZero-N04

Hardcoded variables should be placed as constants

Id	3S-MetaZero-N04
Classification	None
Category	Good Code Practices
Status	Acknowledged

Description

The [LzEndpoint](#), a [minter](#), the royalty [fee](#) and the pay in zero flags ([\[1\]](#), [\[2\]](#)) are hardcoded values, but should be placed as constants instead.

3S-MetaZero-N05

genesisLimit can be placed as constant variables to save gas.

Id	3S-MetaZero-N05
Classification	None
Category	Optimization
Status	Addressed in a79fbc8.

Description

Constant variables are cheaper as no storage writes are required, so **genesisLimit** should define the **constant** keyword.

3S-MetaZero-N06

pragma abicoder v2; is enforced for solidity versions above **0.8.0**

Id	3S-MetaZero-N06
Classification	None
Category	Suggestion
Status	Addressed in 03b38ab.

Description

Solidity versions above **0.8.0** enforce **pragma abicoder v2;** by default.